

On Cohomology rings of infinite groups

Eli Aljadeff*

Department of Mathematics

Technion – Israel Institute of Technology

32000 Haifa, Israel

e-mail: aljadeff@math.technion.ac.il; aljadeff@tx.technion.ac.il

December 17, 2008

Abstract

Let R be any ring (with 1), G a torsion free group and RG the corresponding group ring. Let $\text{Ext}_{RG}^*(M, M)$ be the cohomology ring associated to the RG -module M . Let H be a subgroup of finite index of G . The following is a special version of our main Theorem: Assume the profinite completion of G is torsion free. Then an element $\zeta \in \text{Ext}_{RG}^*(M, M)$ is nilpotent (under Yoneda's product) if and only if its restriction to $\text{Ext}_{RH}^*(M, M)$ is nilpotent. In particular this holds for the Thompson group.

There are torsion free groups for which the analogous statement is false.

1 Introduction.

Elementary abelian subgroup induction plays a crucial role in cohomology and representation theory of finite groups (see [Se], [Qu1], [Qu2], [QV], [Ca1], [Ca2], [Ca3], [Ch], [AS], [Ad], [AM], [Be1], [AE], [AG]). Roughly speaking, the results say that important cohomological properties hold for a group ring RG , G finite and R an arbitrary ring (with 1), if and only if they hold for RE where E runs over all elementary abelian subgroups of G . In general, similar statements are false if one replaces the family of elementary abelian subgroups by cyclics.

We recall two important results which exhibit the role of the elementary abelian subgroups:

*Supported by E. and J. Bishop Research Fund.

Theorem (Quillen and Carlson [Qu1], [Ca2], [Ca3]). *Let G be a finite group and R an arbitrary ring. Let M be a module over RG and let $\text{Ext}_{RG}^*(M, M)$ be the associated cohomology ring (with Yoneda's product). Then an element $\zeta \in \text{Ext}_{RG}^*(M, M)$ is nilpotent if and only if its restriction to $\text{Ext}_{RE}^*(M, M)$ is nilpotent where E runs over all elementary abelian subgroups of G .*

Theorem (Chouinard [Ch]). *Let G be a finite group and R be an arbitrary ring. If M is any module over RG then it is weakly projective (projective) if and only if it is weakly projective (projective) over all subrings RE where E is an elementary abelian subgroup of G .*

Our main objective in this paper is to prove an “infinite version” of Quillen and Carlson Theorem.

In 1976 Moore posed the following conjecture which may be viewed as an “infinite version” of Chouinard's theorem.

Moore's Conjecture (see [Ch], [ACGK, Conjecture 1.1]). *Let G be a group and H a subgroup of finite index. Let R be an arbitrary ring. Assume that for every nontrivial element x in G , at least one of the following two conditions holds:*

M1) $\langle x \rangle \cap H \neq \{e\}$ (in particular this holds if G is torsion free)

M2) $\text{ord}(x)$ is finite and invertible in R .

Then every RG -module M which is projective over RH , is projective also over RG .

We refer to (M1) or (M2) as Moore's condition for the triple (G, H, R) .

Note that Chouinard's theorem implies Moore's conjecture whenever the group G is finite.

Moore's conjecture is a far reaching generalization of the following result of Serre which is well known.

Serre's Theorem (see [Sw]). *Let G be a group and H a subgroup of finite index. Assume that H has finite cohomological dimension (that is G has virtual finite cohomological dimension). If G is torsion free then it has finite cohomological dimension. Moreover, $cd(G) = cd(H)$.*

Let us show how Serre's Theorem is obtained from Moore's conjecture. Assume $cd(H) = n$. If $P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ is a projective resolution of $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}H$, it is projective also over H . It follows that the n -th syzygy Y_n of the resolution is a $\mathbb{Z}H$ -module whose restriction to H is projective. Moore's conjecture says that Y_n is projective over $\mathbb{Z}G$ and so $cd(G) \leq n$.

Our main goal is to formulate and prove a “Moore's analog” to Quillen and Carlson's result. We say “an analog” and not “the analog” since “the analog” is false in its full generality as observed by Dave Benson (see Remark 2 below). Before stating the theorem precisely we set some terminology and notation. Let G be any group and denote by $P(G)$ the collection of all finite index, normal subgroups of G . Let $\Omega = \Omega_G$ be a subset of $P(G)$ filtered from below. Assume further that Ω is

cofinal in $P(G)$ and let $\widehat{G}_\Omega = \varprojlim G/N$ be the profinite completion of G with respect to Ω . Let $\phi : G \rightarrow \widehat{G}_\Omega$ be the canonical map induced by the natural projections $G \rightarrow G/N$, $N \in \Omega$ (see [RZ]).

Definition 1.1. We say that $\widehat{G}_\Omega$ has no new torsion (with respect to G) if any element z of prime order (say p) in $\widehat{G}_\Omega$ is conjugate to an element $\phi(x)$ where x is an element (in G) of order p .

Remark (1). (i) if $\widehat{G}_\Omega$ is torsion free then clearly it has no new torsion. (ii) no assumption has been made about G being residually finite.

Theorem 1.2. *Let RG be a group ring over R . Let H be a subgroup of finite index in G . Assume Moore's condition (M1) or (M2) holds. If $\widehat{G}_\Omega$ has no new torsion (Ω as above) then an element ζ in $\text{Ext}_{RG}^*(M, M)$ is nilpotent if and only if its restriction to $\text{Ext}_{RH}^*(M, M)$ is nilpotent.*

In particular this holds for the Thompson group T (since $\widehat{T}_{P(T)}$ is torsion free). (See [BG] for the definition of the Thompson group and some of its properties).

Quillen and Carlson's result was generalized to infinite groups G which have virtual finite cohomological dimension. The idea already appears in Quillen's paper ([Qu2]). The result says that a nilpotent element in the cohomology ring is detected by its restrictions to the elementary abelian subgroups. This result was extended considerably by Benson, namely to groups which belong to Kropholler's class $\mathbf{LH}\mathfrak{F}$ (Locally- $\mathbf{H}\mathfrak{F}$) and modules which are FP_∞ (see [Be2], [Be3]). It is important to mention that the Thompson group does not belong to the class $\mathbf{LH}\mathfrak{F}$ (see [Kr]).

Remark (2). Dave Benson observed that if one drops the assumption on the profinite completion of G then the analogous statement to Theorem 1.2 is false in general. Indeed, based on the Kan-Thurston construction in [KT], it is shown in [BDH], that there exists a torsion free group G whose mod- p cohomology is isomorphic to the mod- p cohomology of Z/p (the cyclic group of order p). Furthermore, G has a perfect subgroup P of index p whose mod- p cohomology is trivial in positive degrees (see also [CL], where it is shown that G does not satisfy the Quillen-Carlson theorem).

2 Proofs.

An important tool in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is the crossed product construction. It allows us to use induction, namely to express the crossed product $R * G$ in terms of a subalgebra $R * H$ and G/H where H is a normal subgroup of G . Obviously this is not possible within the family of group rings. Therefore we shall work in the context of crossed products rather than group rings. The first step is to extend Quillen-Carlson's result to arbitrary crossed products $R * G$ where G is finite.

Theorem 2.1. *Let M be a module over a crossed product $R * G$, where R is any ring and G a finite group. Then an element $\zeta \in \text{Ext}_{R * G}^*(M, M)$ is nilpotent if and only if its restriction to $\text{Ext}_{R * E}^*(M, M)$ is nilpotent where E runs over all elementary abelian subgroups of G .*

Proof. For the proof we need to generalize Corollary 2.2, Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 in [Ca3] to arbitrary crossed products $R * G$. The generalizations are straightforward and left to reader. $\square$

Next, we extend Theorem 1.2. This is a two step extension. For clarity we do one at a time. The first one is to the context of crossed products.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $R * G$ be a crossed product of the group G over an arbitrary ring R . Let H be a subgroup of finite index in G . Let M be an $R * G$ module. Assume Moore's condition (M1) or (M2) holds. If $\widehat{G}_\Omega$ has no new torsion then an element $\zeta \in \text{Ext}_{R * G}^*(M, M)$ is nilpotent if and only if its restriction to $\text{Ext}_{R * H}^*(M, M)$ is nilpotent.*

The second extension consists in relaxing the condition on the torsion in $\widehat{G}_\Omega$. It is reasonable to expect that one should be careful only about the torsion outside the completion of H . In order to state this condition precisely let G be any group and H a subgroup of finite index. Let $\Omega = \Omega_{G, H}$ be a collection of subgroups of H , filtered from below, normal and of finite index in G . Let $\widehat{G}_\Omega$ and $\widehat{H}_\Omega$ be the corresponding profinite completions of G and H .

Definition 2.3. We say that $\widehat{G}_\Omega$ has no new torsion outside $\widehat{H}_\Omega$ if any element of prime order (say p) in $\widehat{G}_\Omega \setminus \widehat{H}_\Omega$ is conjugate to an element $\phi(x)$ where x is an element in G of order p .

Theorem 2.4. *Let $R * G$ be a crossed product of the group G over an arbitrary ring R . Let H be a subgroup of finite index in G . Let M be an $R * G$ module. Assume Moore's condition (M1) or (M2) holds. If $\widehat{G}_\Omega$ ($\Omega = \Omega_{G, H}$) has no new torsion outside $\widehat{H}_\Omega$ then an element $\zeta \in \text{Ext}_{R * G}^*(M, M)$ is nilpotent if and only if its restriction to $\text{Ext}_{R * H}^*(M, M)$ is nilpotent.*

The similarity of Theorem 2.4 above and Theorem 2.2 in [Al] leads us to formulate a more general result.

Let P be a property associated to an arbitrary crossed product $R * G$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) If P holds for $R * G$ then it holds for $R * H$ where H is any subgroup of G .
- 2) If G is finite, then P holds for $R * G$ if and only if it holds for $R * E$ where E runs over all elementary abelian subgroups of G .

Of course, the properties P considered in this article and in [Al] are: 1) for an $R * G$ module M , an element $\zeta \in \text{Ext}_{RG}^*(M, M)$ is nilpotent (under Yoneda's product); 2) an $R * G$ module M is weakly projective (projective).

For such P we have

Theorem 2.5. *Let R be any ring and let G be any group. Let H be a subgroup of finite index of G which contains all elements of order p (prime) for p not invertible in R (i.e. (M1) or (M2) holds). If $\widehat{G}_\Omega$ ($\Omega = \Omega_{G,H}$) has no new torsion outside $\widehat{H}_\Omega$, then property P holds for $R * G$ if and only if it holds for $R * H$.*

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [Al] and also is left to the reader. $\square$

Acknowledgment: I would like to thank Dave Benson for his important comment with regard the main result of the article (see Remark 2).

References

- [Ad] A. Adem, Recent developments in the cohomology of finite groups, *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.* **44** (1997), No. 7, 806–812.
- [Al] E. Aljadeff, Profinite groups, profinite completions and a conjecture of Moore. To appear in *Adv. Math.*
- [ACGK] E. Aljadeff, J. Cornick, Y. Ginosar and P.H. Kropholler, On a conjecture of Moore, *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra* **110** (1996), 109–112.
- [AE] J. L. Alperin and L. Evens, Representations, resolutions, and Quillen's dimension theorem, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **22** (1981), 1–9.
- [AG] E. Aljadeff and Y. Ginosar, Induction from elementary abelian groups, *J. of Algebra* **179** (1996), 599–606.
- [AM] A. Adem and R. J. Milgram, Cohomology of finite groups. Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], 309. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [AS] G. S. Avrunin and L. Scott, Quillen stratification for modules, *Invent. Math.* **66** (1982), No. 2, 277–286.
- [Be1] D. J. Benson, Representations and homology. II. Cohomology of groups and modules. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 31. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [Be2] D. J. Benson, Complexity and varieties for infinite groups I, *J. Algebra* **193** (1997), No. 1, 260–287.

- [Be3] D. J. Benson, Complexity and varieties for infinite groups II, *J. Algebra* **193** (1997), No. 1, 288–317.
- [BDH] G. Baumslag, E. Dyer and A. Heller, The topology of discrete groups, *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra* **16** (1980), 1–47.
- [BG] K.S. Brown and R. Geoghegan, An infinite dimensional FP_∞ -group, *Invent. Math* **77** (1984), 367–381.
- [Ca1] J. F. Carlson, The varieties and the cohomology ring of a module, *J. Algebra* **85** (1983), No. 1, 104–143.
- [Ca2] J. F. Carlson, The cohomology ring of a module, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **36** (1985), No. 2, 105–121.
- [Ca3] J. F. Carlson, Cohomology and induction from elementary abelian subgroups. (English. English summary) *Q. J. Math.* 51 (2000), no. 2, 169–181. 20J06 (20C05)
- [Ch] L.G. Chouinard, Projectivity and relative projectivity over group rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **7** (1976), 287–302.
- [CL] J. Cornick and I.J. Leary, Some remarks concerning degree zero complete cohomology. *Contemp. Math.* **265** Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2000), 21–25.
- [Kr] P. Kropholler, On groups of type FP_∞ , *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **90** (1993), 55–67.
- [KT] D.M. Kan and W.P. Thurston, Every connected space has the homology of a $K(\pi, 1)$, *Topology* **15** (1976), No. 3, 253–258.
- [Pa] D.S. Passman, *Infinite Crossed Products*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1989.
- [Qu1] D. Quillen, The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring I, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **94** (1971), 549–572.
- [Qu2] D. Quillen, The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring II, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **94** (1971), 573–602.
- [QV] D. Quillen and B. B. Venkov, Cohomology of finite groups and elementary abelian subgroups, *Topology* **11** (1972), 317–318.
- [RZ] L. Ribes and P. Zalesskii, *Profinite Groups*, Springer–Verlag Berlin, 2000.
- [Se] J.-P. Serre, Sur la dimension cohomologique des groupes profinis (French), *Topology* **3** 1965, 413–420.
- [Sw] G. Swan, Groups of cohomological dimension one, *J. of Algebra* **12** (1969), 585–601.